

Patterning of cell assemblies regulated by adhesion receptors of the cadherin superfamily

Masatoshi Takeichi, Shinichi Nakagawa, Shinya Aono, Tadao Usui and Tadashi Uemura

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B 2000 **355**, 885-890 doi: 10.1098/rstb.2000.0624

References

BIOLOGICAL

THE ROYAL

PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS

BIOLOGICAL

THE ROYAL

PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS

Article cited in: http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/355/1399/885#related-urls

Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right-hand corner of the article or click $\frac{here}{here}$

To subscribe to Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B go to: http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/subscriptions



Patterning of cell assemblies regulated by adhesion receptors of the cadherin superfamily

Masatoshi Takeichi^{1*}, Shinichi Nakagawa¹, Shinya Aono¹, Tadao Usui¹ and Tadashi Uemura^{1,2}

¹Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Graduate School of Biostudies, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606–8502, Japan ²PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Corporation, Osaka 565-0082, Japan

During morphogenesis, cell-cell association patterns are dynamically altered. We are interested in how cell adhesion molecules can regulate the patterning of cellular assemblies. Cadherins, a group of cell-cell adhesion receptors, are crucial for the organized assembly of many cell types, but they also regulate dynamic aspects of cell association. For example, during neural crest emigration from the neural tube, the cadherin subtypes expressed by crest cells are switched from one subtype to another. Artificial perturbation of this switch results in blocking of their escape from the neural tube. Intracellular modulations of cadherin activity also seem to play a role in regulation of cell adhesion. We identified pl20^{ctn} as a regulator of cadherin function in carcinoma cells. With such regulators, cells may make a choice as to whether they should maintain stable cell contacts or disrupt their association. Finally, we found another type of cadherin-mediated cell patterning: Flamingo, a seven-pass transmembrane cadherin, regulates planar cell polarity in *Drosophila* imaginal discs. Thus, the cadherin superfamily receptors control the patterning of cell assemblies through a variety of mechanisms.

Keywords: adhesion molecule; cadherin; Flamingo; neural crest; pl20^{ctn}

1. INTRODUCTION

The regulation of intercellular adhesion is thought to be critical for organized cell assemblies. For example, cell adhesion must be regulated to allow the sequential rearrangement of cells that is necessary for a wide variety of morphogenetic events. Among many classes of cell adhesion molecules, cadherins play a central role in cell-cell adhesion (Takeichi 1995), and therefore it is important to know their regulatory mechanisms. We can consider at least two different mechanisms for the regulation of cadherin-mediated adhesion. One is based upon the specific binding properties of the cadherin molecules. Cadherins are a family of homophilic adhesion receptors, and in their interactions, each subtype of the cadherins preferentially binds to the like subtype (Takeichi 1995). This property can cause sorting of heterogeneous cells when they express different cadherins, and thus may play a role in dynamic cell rearrangement. It should also be stressed that, in some situations, even a quantitative difference in cadherin activity between cells can induce their sorting (Steinberg & Takeichi 1993; Godt & Tepass 1998).

The other mechanism is physiological regulation of cadherin activity. Cadherin-mediated adhesion is believed to be controlled by catenins associated with the cytoplasmic domain of cadherins. The carboxy-half region of the cadherin cytoplasmic domain binds to β -catenin, which in turn associates with α -catenin; and

then the α -catenin interacts with the actin-based cytoskeleton directly or indirectly (Barth et al. 1997). These molecular interactions are essential for this adhesion system to exert its normal activity (Hirano et al. 1992; Watabe-Uchida et al. 1998; Ozawa & Kemler 1998). Another catenin, pl20^{ctn}, which is a member of the Armadillo/ β -catenin gene family (Peifer *et al.* 1994) also binds to cadherins, but at the juxtamembrane portion of the cadherin cytoplasmic domain, which is different from the β-catenin-binding region (Yap et al. 1998). Phosphorylation of β -catenins has been implicated in the instability of cell-cell adhesion, but this idea lacks compelling evidence (Daniel & Reynolds 1997). Regarding p120^{ctn}, on the other hand, we have provided evidence that this protein has the ability to regulate cadherin-mediated adhesion through a physiological mechanism (Aono et al. 1999).

Cadherin–cadherin interactions could generate some signals into cells, and this may also control cell arrangement patterns. A number of signalling molecules are actually associated with cadherin or catenins, including members of the epidermal growth factor receptor family (Jawhari *et al.* 1999) and phosphatases (Burden-Gulley & Brady-Kalnay 1999); and also β -catenin is a key mediator of the Wnt signalling cascade (Barth *et al.* 1997). It is even known that cadherin deficiency causes tumour-like tissue growth (Radice *et al.* 1997) and human cancers (Guilford *et al.* 1998). Thus the cadherin–catenin junctions look like intercellular signalling sites. However, little is known about whether the cadherin system indeed mediates the above-mentioned signals. Recently, we demonstrated that a member of the cadherin superfamily, but not a 'classic'

^{*}Author for correspondence (takeichi@take.biophys.kyoto-u.ac.jp).



Figure 1. Cadherin expression during neural tube and crest development in the chick embryo. L-CAM is denoted by yellow, cad6B by pink, N-cadherin by orange and cad7 by blue.

cadherin, plays a peculiar signalling role in cell patterning (Usui *et al.* 1999); and this cadherin, Flamingo (Fmi), represents another type of mechanism for cadherin-mediated regulation of cell–cell interactions. In this review we summarize three different biological phenomena, corresponding to each of the above three mechanisms, and discuss the roles of cadherin superfamily members in the patterning of cell assemblies.

2. CADHERIN-TYPE SWITCHING DURING NEURAL CREST EMIGRATION

Neural crest cells escape from the neural tube, which indicates a need for a regulation of cell–cell adhesion. Neural crest precursors are born in the neural fold, and during and after the closure of the neural fold, neural crest cells detach from the future neural tube and undergo epithelial–mesenchymal transformation. Multiple subtypes of cadherin are dynamically expressed during these processes. For example, in the chick embryo (figure 1), the ectoderm expresses liver cell adhesion molecule (L-CAM) (Thiery *et al.* 1984), and during neural plate invagination, the L-CAM expression is replaced by that of N-cadherin



Figure 2. Switching of cadherin expression during neural crest emigration from the neural tube.



Figure 3. A model for the signalling system to regulate $p120^{ctn}$ function. (*a*) Inhibition and (*b*) activation of the cadherin system.

(Hatta & Takeichi 1986). At the same time, cadherin-6B (cad6B) begins to be expressed in the invaginating neural plate, most strongly at the neural crest-generating area (Nakagawa & Takeichi 1995). In the neural tube that has just closed, N-cadherin and cad6B are co-expressed at the dorsal portion. When neural crest cells emerge from the neural tube, these cadherins become undetectable, and cadherin-7 (cad7) appears instead (Nakagawa & Takeichi 1995). The cad7 expression persists during migration of the crest cells. Based on these observations, we proposed that changes in cadherin expression during neural crest development may play a role in the segregation of neural crest cells from the neural tube.



Figure 5. Examples of cadherin superfamily members.

We tested this idea by experiments that perturbed the cadherin expression in the neural crest-generating area. The experiments were designed to express N-cadherin or cad7 constitutively in cells of the dorsal neural tube (Nakagawa & Takeichi 1998). In these embryos, the above cadherin-type switches cannot normally take place; that is, cells cannot turn off the N-cadherin expression upon their escape from the neural tube or they prematurely express cad7. We found that, under these experimental conditions, the escape of crest cells from the neural tube was dramatically suppressed.

The neural tube cells are connected to one another along their lateral surfaces. At the luminal side of the lateral cell–cell contacts, the adherens junction (AJ) develops, which probably represents the major site for the interconnection of neuroepithelial cells. Cadherin adhesion molecules are localized throughout the lateral contact sites between these cells, being highly concentrated in the AJs (figure 2). For the neural crest cell escape, these cell–cell contacts must be disrupted. We can hypothesize that, in the normal situation, the switching of the expression of N-cadherin and cad6B to that of cad7 permits cells to detach from the tube, because of the distinct binding specificities of these molecules. Under the above experimental conditions, however, this switching was perturbed; i.e. a single type of cadherin, either N-cadherin or cad7, was always expressed during the emergence of crest cells from the neural tube. This presumably prevented them from separating. Thus, our findings support the hypothesis that the cadherin-type switching plays a role in neural crest emigration from the neural tube. Similar mechanisms may function for other processes of cell separation.

3. p120^{ctn} REGULATES CADHERIN ACTIVITY

A physiological mechanism for the regulation of cadherin activity has been identified by use of colon carcinoma cells. We happened to find a unique aggregation property of Colo 205 colon carcinoma cells (Aono *et al.*

BIOLOGICAL

THE ROYAL B SOCIETY

PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS

ЧO

1999). They grow as dispersed cells, despite the expression of all general components of the E-cadherin-catenin complex. In these cells, however, typical E-cadherindependent aggregation can be induced by treatment with staurosporine, a kinase inhibitor, or with trypsin (figure 4). These treatments concomitantly induced an electrophoretic mobility shift of pl20^{ctn}, which seems to be due to partial dephosphorylation of this molecule. We assumed that pl20^{ctn} plays a role in this cadherin reactivation process, and tested this idea by transfecting Colo 205 cells with a series of p120^{ctn} deletion constructs. Interestingly, when N-terminally deleted pl20^{ctn} was expressed, the Colo 205 cells aggregated strongly. This result suggests that the original pl20^{ctn} molecule may serve to inhibit the cadherin system; that is, if its N-terminal deletion mutants lost this activity, they could compete with the endogenous pl20^{ctn} to abolish its adhesion-blocking action. To corroborate this idea, we introduced a mutant cadherin lacking the pl20^{ctn}-binding site into Colo 205 cells, and found that this mutant molecule also induced cell aggregation, indicating that cadherins are able to function normally in these cells, if they do not bind to pl20^{ctn}.

Based on the above findings, we proposed that there exists a signalling mechanism to modify a biochemical state of p120^{ctn}, which originates in the cell surface, and that biochemically modified p120^{ctn} molecules block the cadherin system (figure 3). Trypsin probably digests some cell surface proteins involved in this signalling system, leading to an activation or suppression of their downstream cascade. The effect of staurosporine may be involved in an intermediate step of this hypothetical signalling cascade via its inhibitory action on certain kinases. The correlation between the dephosphorylation of pl20^{ctn} and adhesion induction suggests that the inhibitory activity of this molecule may be elicited by hyperphosphorylation. How does pl20ctn inhibit cadherin function? The juxtamembrane domain of the cadherin cytoplasmic region, where pl20^{ctn} binds, is required for clustering of cadherin molecules (Yap et al. 1998), which is thought to be essential for the normal activity of cadherins. It is possible that pl20^{ctn} regulates the lateral clustering of cadherins; e.g. it may inhibit this process when hyperphosphorylated, with this action being suppressed in the default state.

We thus demonstrated a pl20^{ctn}-dependent inhibition of the cadherin system in carcinoma cells. Many tumour cells maintain strong cadherin-mediated adhesion; nevertheless they can still metastasize. Such tumour cells must have a mechanism to destabilize their own cell-cell adhesion, at least transiently, for detaching themselves from the original tumour mass. The pl20^{ctn}-dependent process is one such mechanism. Perhaps the inhibitory state of pl20^{ctn} could fluctuate, because of unstable physiological conditions in cancer cells, and this could cause a temporary disruption of cadherin-mediated cellcell adhesions. Colo 205 cells may be an extreme case in which the pl20^{ctn}-dependent inhibitory mechanism is constitutively turned on. Although the inhibitory activity of p120^{ctn} was discovered in tumour cells, we believe that the pl20^{ctn}-dependent mechanisms are used for morphogenetic regulation of cell adhesion in embryos. Actually, the juxtamembrane domain of the cadherin cytoplasmic domain to which pl20^{ctn} binds has been implicated in cell

motility (Chen *et al.* 1997) and in axon outgrowth (Riehl *et al.* 1996). Overexpression of $pl20^{ctn}$ in *Xenopus* embryos perturbs gastrulation (Geis *et al.* 1998; Paulson *et al.* 1999). As proposed above, there must be a signalling cascade, originating in the cell surface, to regulate the $pl20^{ctn}$ activity. Identification of such cascades in various developmental systems should help us to uncover further morphoregulatory roles of cadherins.

4. REGULATION OF PLANAR CELL POLARITY BY Fmi, A CADHERIN SUPERFAMILY MOLECULE

In many organs, epithelial cells are polarized within their plane. In the *Drosophila* wing, each cell of the epithelial layer produces a single hair that points distally (Mitchell *et al.* 1983; Eaton *et al.* 1996; Turner & Adler 1998), and exhibits proximal-distal (P–D) polarity. A number of genes regulate tissue polarity, and among them *frizzled* (*fz*) is well known. It is believed that Fz activates the polarity pathway via Dishevelled (Dsh) and that Fz and Dsh are required to restrict prehair formation to the distal edge of the cell (Wong & Adler 1993). We now find that a member of the cadherin superfamily, designated as Fmi, regulates planar cell polarity under the control of Fz.

Members of the cadherin superfamily are defined as proteins having a tandem array of repeated unique sequences, called cadherin repeat or motif, in their extracellular domain (figure 5); this motif is considered to be essential for their ability to bind Ca^{2+} (Johnson *et al.* 1999). This superfamily consists of many subfamilies: one of them is the classic cadherin subfamily, whose members interact with catenins and function as adhesion molecules, as focused on above. Fmi belongs to a completely new subfamily (Uemura 1998). The structure of Fmi is unique (figure 5), as it has a seven-pass transmembrane with similarity to the secretin receptor (Usui et al. 1999). Fmi is localized in cell-cell boundaries, and its ectodomains interact homophilically, as do those of the classic-type cadherins. However, before morphological polarization of wing cells along the P-D axis, Fmi becomes redistributed to the proximal and distal cell boundaries (P-D boundaries). Importantly, in the absence or overexpression of Fmi, the P-D polarity in hair growth is dramatically altered (figure 6). When Fz was ectopically expressed in a pattern to form an activity gradient vertical to the P-D axis, the Fmi distribution was altered so as to cross the Fz gradient. As a consequence, the direction of hair growth was modified; i.e. they followed the Fz gradient. These results suggest that the restricted Fmi distribution is a prerequisite for the polarized hair development and that Fz signalling determines the Fmi distribution towards P-D cell boundaries. In the experiment for gradient expression of Fz, wing hairs point down the Fz slope. This result can be interpreted to suggest that, in normal wing development, the proximal side of the P-D boundary has a stronger Fz activity (Adler et al. 1997). Cells would monitor this bias of the Fz activity, and may recruit Fmi molecules to the distal edge where Fz activity is stronger. Then, the homophilic binding property of Fmi would probably induce the assembly of Fmi on the other side of the P–D boundary, leading to formation of an 'Fmi zipper'. In this way, the



BIOLOGICAL

THE ROYAL

PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS

OF



asymmetrical Fz activity can be converted into the distribution of Fmi molecules that is apparently symmetrical, and determine the direction of hair growth. This model, however, does not explain why the hairs are pointed distally; this problem was interpreted in terms of unequal activity of Fmi across the P–D boundary, as detailed in our original paper (Usui *et al.* 1999). In summary, Fmi molecules seem to generate some signals that determine polarized hair growth, perhaps through their homophilic interactions. This finding provides a new insight into the signalling role of homophilic cadherin interactions in cellular patterning.

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have discussed three different aspects of cadherindependent cellular patterning processes. Each process is based upon completely different functions of this molecular family. In the natural state, however, these mechanisms should be harmonized. For example, during the emigration of neural crest cells, not only cadherin-type switching but also a temporary inhibition of cadherin activity might be involved. The latter should be particularly important for the cell-separation events, which do not accompany cadherin-type switching, as in the case of tumour cell detachment.

The mechanism identified for Fmi appears to be unique, and is probably specific for this subfamily. Interestingly, even Fmi with such a peculiar structure seems to Figure 6. Fmi regulates planar cell polarity. (a) Hair distribution in a wild-type wing of *Drosophila*. (b) The effect of overexpression of Fmi on hair distribution. (c) Double-staining for Fmi and (d) DE-cadherin in a wing disc at the stage of prehair formation. Fmi is concentrated at cell–cell boundaries vertical to the P–D axis, whereas DEcadherin is localized at every cell–cell boundary. The proximal end of the wing is located at the right in all panels.



Figure 7. Homophilic interactions of different cadherins probably produce different types of signals. (a) Classic cadherins, (b) Fmi.

use homophilic interactions between its extracellular domains to generate its activities. This suggests that the homophilic interaction between the cadherin motifs is a general property of the members of this superfamily and that this molecular reaction is crucial for exerting their functions (figure 7). Nevertheless, each member of the superfamily shows a unique biological activity; e.g. the classic cadherins are adhesion molecules and Fmi is a signalling molecule that regulates planar cell polarity. This means that their homophilic interactions are not for the generation of a single kind of biological activity. The amino-acid sequences of the cytoplasmic domain considerably diverge within the superfamily. It can therefore be assumed that the biological function of each member is determined in part by these cytoplasmic sequences. Probably, the initial signals created by the homophilic interactions between the cadherin motifs are in turn converted

BIOLOGICAL

THE ROYAL

PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS

Б

into diverse signals at the cytoplasmic side, depending on the structure there. The biological functions are still unknown for most of the cadherin superfamily members, and unravelling their functions might shed light on many unresolved problems related to cell assembly mechanisms.

This work was supported by the programmes Grants-in-Aid for Creative Fundamental Research and Grants-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research of the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan to M.T., and PRESTO of Japan Science and Technology Corporation to T.U.

REFERENCES

- Adler, P. N., Krasnow, R. E. & Liu, J. 1997 Tissue polarity points from cells that have higher Frizzled levels towards cells that have lower Frizzled levels. *Curr. Biol.* 7, 940–949.
- Aono, S., Nakagawa, S., Reynolds, A.-B. & Takeichi, M. 1999 pl20^{ctn} acts as an inhibitory regulator of cadherin function in colon carcinoma cells. *J. Cell Biol.* 145, 551–562.
- Barth, A. I. M., Näthke, I.-S. & Nelson, W.-J. 1997 Cadherins, catenins and APC protein: interplay between cytoskeletal complexes and signaling pathways. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 9, 683–690.
- Burden-Gulley, S. M. & Brady-Kalnay, S. M. 1999 PTPmu regulates N-cadherin-dependent neurite outgrowth. *J. Cell Biol.* 144, 1323–1336.
- Chen, H., Paradies, N. E., Fedor-Chaiken, M. & Brackenbury, R. 1997 E-cadherin mediates adhesion and suppresses cell motility via distinct mechanisms. *J. Cell Sci.* **110**, 345–356.
- Daniel, J. M. & Reynolds, A. B. 1997 Tyrosine phosphorylation and cadherin/catenin function. *BioEssays* 19, 883–891.
- Eaton, S., Wepf, R. & Simons, K. 1996 Roles for Rac1 and Cdc42 in planar polarization and hair outgrowth in the wing of *Drosophila*. *J. Cell Biol.* 135, 1277–1289.
- Geis, K., Aberle, H., Kuhl, M., Kemler, R. & Wedlich, D. 1998 Expression of the Armadillo family member pl20^{cas} 1B in *Xenopus* embryos affects head differentiation but not axis formation. *Dev. Genes Evol.* 207, 471–481.
- Godt, D. & Tepass, U. 1998 Drosophila oocyte localization is mediated by differential cadherin-based adhesion. Nature 395, 387–391.
- Guilford, P., Hopkins, J., Harraway, J., McLeod, M., McLeod, N., Harawira, P., Taite, H., Scoular, R., Miller, A. & Reeve, A. E. 1998 E-cadherin germline mutations in familial gastric cancer. *Nature* **392**, 402–405.
- Hatta, K. & Takeichi, M. 1986 Expression of N-cadherin adhesion molecules associated with early morphogenetic events in chick development. *Nature* **320**, 447–449.
- Hirano, S., Kimoto, N., Shimoyama, Y., Hirohashi, S. & Takeichi, M. 1992 Identification of a neural α-catenin as a key regulator of cadherin function and multicellular organization. *Cell* **70**, 293–301.
- Jawhari, A. U., Farthing, M. J. & Pignatelli, M. 1999 The Ecadherin/epidermal growth factor receptor interaction: a hypothesis of reciprocal and reversible control of intercellular adhesion and cell proliferation. *J. Pathol.* 187, 155–157.
- Johnson, K. R., Wheelock, M. J. & Takeichi, M. 1999 Cadherins. In *Guidebook to the extracellular matrix, anchor, and* adhesion proteins, 2nd edn (ed. T. Kreis & R. Vale), pp. 141–150.

Oxford, UK: Sambrook & Tooze Publication at Oxford University Press.

- Mitchell, H. K., Roach, J. & Petersen, N. S. 1983 The morphogenesis of cell hairs on *Drosophila* wings. *Devl Biol.* 95, 387–398.
- Nakagawa, S. & Takeichi, M. 1995 Neural crest cell-cell adhesion controlled by sequential and subpopulation-specific expression of novel cadherins. *Development* 121, 1321–1332.
- Nakagawa, S. & Takeichi, M. 1998 Neural crest emigration from the neural tube depends on regulated cadherin expression. *Development* 125, 2963–2971.
- Ozawa, M. & Kemler, R. 1998 Altered cell adhesion activity by pervanadate due to the dissociation of α-catenin from the E-cadherin–catenin complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 6166–6170.
- Paulson, A. F., Fang, X., Ji, H., Reynolds, A. B. & McCrea, P. 1999 Misexpression of the catenin pl20^{ctn} lA perturbs *Xenopus* gastrulation. *Dev. Biol.* 207, 350–363.
- Peifer, M., Berg, S. & Reynolds, A. B. 1994 A repeating amino acid motif shared by proteins with diverse cellular roles. *Cell* 76, 789–791.
- Radice, G. L., Ferreira-Cornwell, M. C., Robinson, S. D., Rayburn, H., Chodosh, L. A., Takeichi, M. & Hynes, R. O. 1997 Precocious mammary gland development in P-cadherindeficient mice. *J. Cell Biol.* 17, 1025–1032.
- Riehl, R., Johnson, K., Bradley, R., Grunwald, G. B., Cornel, E., Lilienbaum, A. & Holt, C. E. 1996 Cadherin function is required for axon outgrowth in retinal ganglion cells *in vivo*. *Neuron* 17, 837–848.
- Steinberg, M. S. & Takeichi, M. 1993 Experimental specification of cell sorting, tissue spreading and specific patterning by quantitative differences in cadherin expression. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **91**, 206–209.
- Takeichi, M. 1995 Morphogenetic roles of classic cadherins. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 7, 619–627.
- Thiery, J. P., Delouvee, A., Gallin, W. J., Cunningham, B. A. & Edelman, G. M. 1984 Ontogenic expression of cell adhesion molecules: L-CAM is found in epithelia derived from the three primary germ layers. *Dev. Biol.* 102, 61–78.
- Turner, C. M. & Adler, P. N. 1998 Distinct roles for the actin and microtubule cytoskeletons in the morphogenesis of epidermal hairs during wing development in *Drosophila. Mech. Dev.* 70, 181–192.
- Uemura, T. 1998 The cadherin superfamily at the synapse: more members, more missions. *Cell* **93**, 1095–1098.
- Usui, T., Shima, Y., Shimada, Y., Hirano, S., Burgess, R. W., Schwarz, T. L., Takeichi, M. & Uemura, T. 1999 Flamingo, a seven-pass transmembrane cadherin, regulates planar cell polarity under the control of Frizzled. *Cell* 98, 585–595.
- Watabe-Uchida, M., Uchida, N., Imamura, Y., Nagafuchi, A., Fujimoto, K., Uemura, T., Vermeulen, S., Van Roy, F., Adamson, E. D. & Takeichi, M. 1998 α-catenin–vinculin interaction functions to organize the apical junctional complex in epithelial cells. *J. Cell Biol.* **142**, 847–857.
- Wong, L. L. & Adler, P. N. 1993 Tissue polarity genes of Drosophila regulate the subcellular location for prehair initiation in pupal wing cells. J. Cell Biol. 123, 209–221.
- Yap, A. S., Niessen, C. M. & Gumbiner, B. M. 1998 The juxtamembrane region of the cadherin cytoplasmic tail supports lateral clustering, adhesive strengthening, and interaction with pl20^{ctn}. *J. Cell Biol.* 141, 779–789.